1 COREY B. BECK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 005870 2 LAW OFFICE OF COREY B. BECK, P.C. 425 South Sixth Street 3 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Ph.: (702) 678-1999 Fax: (702) 678-6788 Email: becksbk@yahoo.com		
5		
6 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
In Re: CENSO LLC.) BK-S-19-16636-MKN) Chapter 11
	Debtor.	HEARING DATE: September 2, 2020 HEARING TIME: 9:30 AM
11 MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
TO FRCP RULE 59 AND BANKRUPTCY RULE 9023		
The Debtor, CENSO LLC., by and through their counsel, COREY B. BECK, ESQ., of		
THE LAW OFFICE OF COREY B. BECK, P.C. respectfully files this motion as follows;		
FACTS		
1.	Motion for Relief From Stay re	egarding 11441 Allerton Park Drive, #411 Las
	Vegas, NV 89109 (hereinafter	"Allerton property") was by Michael W. Chen,
	Esq., on behalf of NEWREZ I	LLC., d/b/a ShellPoint Mortgage Servicing on May
	18, 2020.	
2.	An Order Lifting Stay on subje	ect property was entered on July 17, 2020. See
	Exhibit "1" - Order Lifting St	ay.
3.	In this motion, movant will ass	sert that Censo, LLC., has direct relationship with
	creditor.	
4.	In addition, movant will conte	nd that Debtor does dispute validity of debt.
5.	In addition, Censo through Mo	elani Schulte is seeking to rent subject property for
	\$2,800.00/month, which is mo	ore than contractual monthly payment -
	\$2,500.00/month. See Exhibit	t "2" - Declaration of Melani Schulte.
	Nevada Bar N LAW OFFICI 425 South Six Las Vegas, N' Ph.: (702) 67 Fax: (702) 67 Email: becksb Attorney for I In Re: CENSO LLC. The D THE LAW Off 1.	Nevada Bar No.: 005870 LAW OFFICE OF COREY B. BECK, P.C. 425 South Sixth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Ph.: (702) 678-1999 Fax: (702) 678-6788 Email: becksbk@yahoo.com Attorney for Debtor UNITED STATES DISTRICT In Re: CENSO LLC. MOTION FOR RELIEF I TO FRCP RULE 59 AN The Debtor, CENSO LLC., by and th THE LAW OFFICE OF COREY B. BECK, 1. Motion for Relief From Stay r Vegas, NV 89109 (hereinafter Esq., on behalf of NEWREZ I 18, 2020. 2. An Order Lifting Stay on subjute Exhibit "1" - Order Lifting Stay 3. In this motion, movant will assected to. 4. In addition, Censo through Motion S2,800.00/month, which is motion.

Debtor is seeking to have stay reinstated so that it could be rented out without the threat of immediate foreclosure. See Exhibit "2" - Declaration of Melani Schulte.
 Debtor has made offer and would tender adequate protection payment during pending of adversary complaint. See Exhibit "2" - Declaration of Melani Schulte.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

FRCP Rule 59

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (1) Grounds for New Trial. The court may, on motion, grant a new trial on all or some of the issues—and to any party—as follows:
 - (A) after a jury trial, for any reason for which a new trial has heretofore been granted in an action at law in federal court; or
 - (B) after a nonjury trial, for any reason for which a rehearing has heretofore been granted in a suit in equity in federal court.
- (2) Further Action After a Nonjury Trial. After a nonjury trial, the court may, on motion for a new trial, open the judgment if one has been entered, take additional testimony, amend findings of fact and conclusions of law or make new ones, and direct the entry of a new judgment.
- (b) Time to File a Motion for a New Trial. A motion for a new trial must be filed no later than 28 days after the entry of judgment.
- (c) Time to Serve Affidavits. When a motion for a new trial is based on affidavits, they must be filed with the motion. The opposing party has 14 days after being served to file opposing affidavits. The court may permit reply affidavits.
- (d) New Trial on the Court's Initiative or for Reasons Not in the Motion. No later than 28 days after the entry of judgment, the court, on its own, may order a new trial for any reason that would justify granting one on a party's motion. After giving the parties notice and an opportunity to be heard, the court may grant a timely motion for a new trial for a reason not stated in the motion. In either event, the court must specify the reasons in its order.
- (e) Motion to Alter or Amend a Judgment. A motion to alter or amend a judgment must be filed no later than 28 days after the entry of the judgment.

Rule 9023

Except as provided in this rule and Rule 3008, Rule 59 F.R.Civ.P. applies in cases under the Code. A motion for a new trial or to alter or amend a judgment shall be filed, and a court may on its own order a new trial, no later than 14 days after entry of judgment. In some circumstances, Rule 8008 governs post-judgment motion practice after an appeal has been docketed and is pending. (As amended Mar. 26, 2009, eff. Dec. 1, 2009; Apr. 25, 2014, eff. Dec. 1, 2014.)

Notes of Advisory Committee on Rules—1983

Rule 59 F.R.Civ.P. regulates motions for a new trial and amendment of judgment. Those motions must be served within 10 days of the entry of judgment. No similar time limit is contained in Rule 3008 which governs reconsideration of claims.

Committee Notes on Rules—2009 Amendment

The rule is amended to limit to 14 days the time for a party to file a post judgment motion for a new trial and for the court to order sua sponte a new trial. In 2009, Rule 59 F. R. Civ. P. was amended to extend the deadline for these actions to 28 days after the entry of judgment. That deadline corresponds to the 30-day deadline for filing a notice of appeal in a civil case under Rule 4(a)(1)(A) F.R.App.P. In a bankruptcy case, however, the deadline for filing a notice of appeal is 14 days. Therefore, the 28-day deadline for filing a motion for a new trial or a motion to alter or amend a judgment would effectively override the notice of appeal deadline under Rule 8002(a) but for this amendment.

This motion is timely under Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Court Order Lifting Stay was entered on July 17, 2020. This motion is filed on July 31, 2020. Therefore, said motion if filed within 14 days of entry of the order. Rule 9023 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure incorporates Rule 59 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bottom line is that the Debtor falls within the 14 days of the entry of the order to seek relief under Rule 59. Undersigned counsel submits there is valid basis for amending finding of facts. Therefore, providing basis to reimpose the stay.

On July 17, 2020, Order Lifting Stay, Court found there was "cause" to lift stay. In particular, Court found that ShellPoint did not share debtor-creditor relationship. Under 11 U.S.C. §506(a)(1) notes "an allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest, or that is subject to setoff under section 553 of this title, is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate interest in such property."

In particular, Censo is asserting through its own adversary action - Censo, LLC., v. NEWREZ LLC., d/b/a ShellPoint Mortgage Servicing Case # 20-01077-MKN - that ShellPoint has unsecured claim. §506(a)(1) references estate's interest in property. Debtor contends that estate has direct and strong interest in property. Debtor's predecessor purchased subject property at HOA foreclosure sale. Property has been transferred to Censo, LLC. Moreover, United States District Court order states that Ke Aloha Holdings, LLC., was owner of the property but subject to Fannie Mae's deed of trust (federal interest). "The Court quiets title and dealings that Defendant Ke Aloha acquired the subject property to Fannie Mae's deed of trust." Therefore, under §506 analysis, debtor satisfies "interest in such property".

For purposes of considering Motion for Relief From Stay, Debtor is seeking to rent out property at \$2,850.00/month, which is more than \$2,500.00/month contractual payment. Debtor through counsel submits this property is necessary for reorganization. As Debtor has spent \$133,000.00 on improvements and repairs. Debtor's intent is to rent out subject property. Moreover, Debtor offered adequate protection prior to Motion for Relief From Stay hearing being heard. Moreover, Debtor will tender adequate protection payments during pendency of adversary action. *See Exhibit "2" - Declaration of Melani Schulte*. It is fair and equitable to

reimpose stay while adversary action is pending subject to adequate protection payments being 1 2 tendered to the Bank by the Debtor. 3 **RELIEF REQUESTED** 1. Order Reconsidering July 17, 2020 Order Lifting Stay; 4 5 2. Order reimposing stay conditioned on payment of adequate protection; 3. All other relief the court deems appropriate. 6 DATED this 31st day of July, 2020. 7 Respectfully submitted, 8 9 /s/ COREY B. BECK COREY B. BECK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005870 10 THE LAW OFFICE OF COREY B. BECK, P.C. 11 425 South Sixth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel: (702) 678-1999 12 Fax: (702) 678-6788 becksbk@yahoo.com 13 14 Attorney for Debtor ### 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28